SECTION 131 FORM

Appeal NO:_ABP_314485-22_	Defer Re O/H
Having considered the contents of the submission from Darragh O'Ne'll I recommend that seemed the submission from I recommend the	ection 131 of the Planning and Development Act, 2000
E.O.: Pat B	Date: 0810412024
For further consideration by SEO/SAO	
Section 131 not to be invoked at this stage.	
Section 131 to be invoked – allow 2/4 weeks for re	ply.
S.E.O.:	Date:
S.A.O:	Date:
M	
Please prepare BP Section 131 r submission	otice enclosing a copy of the attached
to: Task No:	
Allow 2/3/4weeks – BP	
EO:	Date:
AA:	Date:

S. 37

File With	

CORRESPONDENCE FORM

CORRESPOND	PLACE I OICH
Appeal No: ABP 314485 -22	
M	
Please treat correspondence received onOLI	as follows:
Update database with new agent for Applicant	/Appellant
2. Acknowledge with BP 23	1. RETURN TO SENDER with BP
3. Keep copy of Board's Letter	2. Keep Envelope:
	3. Keep Copy of Board's letter
Amendments/Comments Daragh O'Neill re	sponse to 5.131
12/03/24:02/04/24/	
4. Attach to file	
(a) R/S (d) Screening	RETURN TO EO
(b) GIS Processing (e) Inspectorate	
(c) Processing	
	Plans Date Stamped
At C	Date Stamped Filled in
EO: Pat B	AA: Anthony Mc Nally
Date: 08/04/2024	Date: 25/04/2024

Fergal Ryan

From:

Bord

Sent:

02 April 2024 09:19

To:

Patrick Buckley

Cc:

Appeals2

Subject:

FW: Case Number ABP-314485-22

Attachments:

ABP-314485-22 An Bord Pleanala - D O'Neill - 29032024.pdf

From: Darragh O'Neill <oneilldw@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, April 1, 2024 9:45 PM

To: Bord <bord@pleanala.ie>

Cc: Darragh O'Neill <oneilldw@gmail.com>
Subject: RE: Case Number ABP-314485-22

Caution: This is an External Email and may have malicious content. Please take care when clicking links or

opening attachments. When in doubt, contact the ICT Helpdesk.

FAO: Mr Patrick Buckley

Executive Officer

RE:

Case Number: ABP-314485-22

Planning Authority Reference Number: F20A/0668

Dear Sir,

Please find my submission and observations in relation to the submission dated 04th March 2024 received by An Bord Pleanala from Tom Phillips and Assoc. on behalf of DAA plc, saved in PDF format attached.

In addition to my submission, I would note that the Fingal County Council 2007 planning stipulations have been absolutely flouted by the DAA. The authorized flightpaths as per 2007 planning permission have been completely ignored. There is a raw arrogance displayed by the DAA in this assertion.

In the interest of public democracy, the appeal should be upheld and the Bord should not allow the DAA to persist with its illegal activities.

I would request confirmation of receipt of this email by reply,

Yours Sincerely,

Darragh O'Neill BSc Arch Tech Hons RIAI 11 11 1 31 VI

An Bord Pleanála

64 Marlborough St.

Dublin 1

D01 V902

RE: Case Number ABP- 314485-22 Relevant Action Application Dublin Airport

Dear Sir/Madam

Further to your correspondence to us on the above case we wish to make the following observations/submissions:

- 1. We are shocked to see that the noise contours have extended hugely into our community and that a very significant number of dwellings are now included within the noise eligibility contours. Firstly, we note that there was no notice of this fact in any of the planning notices for this application to date. Many of our neighbours who thought they were not affected by this application are now inside these contours but yet were never publicly notified until they attended a public meeting held by St Margarets /The Ward residents' group who explained this to all of us. None of the newspaper or site notices informed the public. Secondly, the people who now know they are within the contours have not been given the opportunity to make a submission/observation as they do not qualify because they did not make a submission previously as they thought they were unaffected. An Bord Pleanála did not give a public notice of this significant additional information. The above is totally unacceptable and unjust to the communities affected.
- 2. We note that the correspondence from Tom Phillips & Associates refers to the ANCA Regulatory Decision regarding eligibility to the noise insulation scheme and suggest that the change in contours is as a result of their assessing that the increased area is as a result of them considering this new area which contains dwellings to having "very significant" effects. We note that the DAA have never carried out significant test criteria within any of the EIAR they have submitted and therefore they have not met with the EIA directive. This is a fundamental flaw in the assessment as the EIA directive is clear, all significant impact on environment must be identified, quantified and mitigation proposed. That has not happened to date. For areas under the North Runway this involves comparing the scenario with no flights from the North Runway to a scenario where there will be night flights. This has not been done.

- 3. Tom Phillips refers continuou slyto, the regulatory de cisionby, ANCA in his correspon dence. H owever, what is not contained in hisc orrespondence but is within the EIAR relating to. these noise contours is that the proposil does NOT meet the Noise Abatement Objective of AN CAin future ye ars. Thep roposed 2025 Scenario will fail the NAO when compared to 2019 when the total of the existing population, perm ited developm ents and zoned develop ments are summed together. "2025 e xceeds 2019 by 4,541 p eople (1533'v 60 74).
- 4. Why have he nois econto ursgrown. St M argrets The Ward residen tscarried outnoise monitoring on the north ru nwayfl ightp athan dfou ndthe noise levels to be ar beyond those P ÆDICTED by DAA. Their noise p redctions a renot accurate and unfounded and they are trying to obtain permissionby manipulating numbers. Why can they not submit aictual roise results along, theflig htoath which has beenin operation since August 2 022. The community co uld.
- 5. Referencei smade to the noise zones on Fingal developm entpl in. These noi sezones must now be r evised due, to the proposed flight path over our area.. Fingal C ourty Coun cil consider that there should be no residential development allowed in noise: ane A assit is considered harmful to health or otherwise considered unacceptable due to the high levels of aircraft noise. However, the fight path now beingoperated by DAA is putting many existing res de roes in Noise Zone A and B which is just not acceptable from a health point of view.
- 6. The noise insulation grant a sproposed is not fit for purpose and is totally insu fficient to protect for night noise. Measurements of noise in bedrooms of housing already insulated indicate that the noise levels exceed the recomme indaton in Fingal Development Plan are not sufficient to protect human heath.
- 7. I nsummary planning is a nafterthought for DAA. Their actions show that they do not respect planning legislation or decisions of Am BordPleanála. This application must be refused.

Yours Sincerely,

Date: 29/03/24

Address: WOTTON, THE WARD, CO. MEATH

An Bord Pleanála
64 Marlborough St.
Dublin 1
D01 V902

AN BORD PLEANÁLA	
ABP-	
0 2 APR 2024	
Fee: € Type:	
Time: 14:14 By: Hard	

RE: Case Number ABP- 314485-22 Relevant Action Application Dublin Airport

Dear Sir/Madam

Further to your correspondence to us on the above case we wish to make the following observations/submissions:

- 1. We are shocked to see that the noise contours have extended hugely into our community and that a very significant number of dwellings are now included within the noise eligibility contours. Firstly, we note that there was no notice of this fact in any of the planning notices for this application to date. Many of our neighbours who thought they were not affected by this application are now inside these contours but yet were never publicly notified until they attended a public meeting held by St Margarets /The Ward residents' group who explained this to all of us. None of the newspaper or site notices informed the public. Secondly, the people who now know they are within the contours have not been given the opportunity to make a submission/observation as they do not qualify because they did not make a submission previously as they thought they were unaffected. An Bord Pleanála did not give a public notice of this significant additional information. The above is totally unacceptable and unjust to the communities affected.
- 2. We note that the correspondence from Tom Phillips & Associates refers to the ANCA Regulatory Decision regarding eligibility to the noise insulation scheme and suggest that the change in contours is as a result of their assessing that the increased area is as a result of them considering this new area which contains dwellings to having "very significant" effects. We note that the DAA have never carried out significant test criteria within any of the EIAR they have submitted and therefore they have not met with the EIA directive. This is a fundamental flaw in the assessment as the EIA directive is clear, all significant impact on environment must be identified, quantified and mitigation proposed. That has not happened to date. For areas under the North Runway this involves comparing the scenario with no flights from the North Runway to a scenario where there will be night flights. This has not been done.

- 3. Tom Phillips refers continuously to the regulatory decision by ANCA in his correspondence. However, what is not contained in his correspondence but is within the EIAR relating to these noise contours is that the proposal does NOT meet the Noise Abatement Objective of ANCA in future years. The proposed 2025 Scenario will fail the NAO when compared to 2019 when the total of the existing population, permitted developments and zoned developments are summed together. "2025 exceeds 2019 by 4,541 people (1533 v 6074).
- 4. Why have the noise contours grown. St Margarets The Ward residents carried out noise monitoring on the north runway flight path and found the noise levels to be far beyond those PREDICTED by DAA. Their noise predictions are not accurate and unfounded and they are trying to obtain permission by manipulating numbers. Why can they not submit actual noise results along the flight path which has been in operation since August 2022. The community could.
- 5. Reference is made to the noise zones on Fingal development plan. These noise zones must now be revised due to the proposed flight path over our area. Fingal County Council consider that there should be no residential development allowed in noise zone A as it is considered harmful to health or otherwise considered unacceptable due to the high levels of aircraft noise. However, the fight path now being operated by DAA is putting many existing residences in Noise Zone A and B which is just not acceptable from a health point of view.
- 6. The noise insulation grant as proposed is not fit for purpose and is totally insufficient to protect for night noise. Measurements of noise in bedrooms of housing already insulated indicate that the noise levels exceed the recommendation in Fingal Development Plan are not sufficient to protect human health.
- 7. In summary planning is an afterthought for DAA. Their actions show that they do not respect planning legislation or decisions of An Bord Pleanála. This application must be refused.

Yours Sincerely,

Date: 29/03/24

Address: WOTTON THE WARD CO MEATH

so mod somethies somethies

souther

An Bord Pleanála 64 Marlborough St. Dublin 1 D01 V902

AN BORD PLEANÁLA	
LDG-	
ABP-	
0 2 APR 2024	
Fee: € 1ype:	
Time: 14:14 By: Hand	

RE: Case Number ABP- 314485-22 Relevant Action Application Dublin Airport

Dear Sir/Madam

Further to your correspondence to us on the above case we wish to make the following observations/submissions:

- 1. We are shocked to see that the noise contours have extended hugely into our community and that a very significant number of dwellings are now included within the noise eligibility contours. Firstly, we note that there was no notice of this fact in any of the planning notices for this application to date. Many of our neighbours who thought they were not affected by this application are now inside these contours but yet were never publicly notified until they attended a public meeting held by St Margarets /The Ward residents' group who explained this to all of us. None of the newspaper or site notices informed the public. Secondly, the people who now know they are within the contours have not been given the opportunity to make a submission/observation as they do not qualify because they did not make a submission previously as they thought they were unaffected. An Bord Pleanála did not give a public notice of this significant additional information. The above is totally unacceptable and unjust to the communities affected.
- 2. We note that the correspondence from Tom Phillips & Associates refers to the ANCA Regulatory Decision regarding eligibility to the noise insulation scheme and suggest that the change in contours is as a result of their assessing that the increased area is as a result of them considering this new area which contains dwellings to having "very significant" effects. We note that the DAA have never carried out significant test criteria within any of the EIAR they have submitted and therefore they have not met with the EIA directive. This is a fundamental flaw in the assessment as the EIA directive is clear, all significant impact on environment must be identified, quantified and mitigation proposed. That has not happened to date. For areas under the North Runway this involves comparing the scenario with no flights from the North Runway to a scenario where there will be night flights. This has not been done.

- 3. Tom Phillips refers continuously to the regulatory decision by ANCA in his correspondence. However, what is not contained in his correspondence but is within the EIAR relating to these noise contours is that the proposal does NOT meet the Noise Abatement Objective of ANCA in future years. The proposed 2025 Scenario will fail the NAO when compared to 2019 when the total of the existing population, permitted developments and zoned developments are summed together. "2025 exceeds 2019 by 4,541 people (1533 v 6074).
- 4. Why have the noise contours grown. St Margarets The Ward residents carried out noise monitoring on the north runway flight path and found the noise levels to be far beyond those PREDICTED by DAA. Their noise predictions are not accurate and unfounded and they are trying to obtain permission by manipulating numbers. Why can they not submit actual noise results along the flight path which has been in operation since August 2022. The community could.
- 5. Reference is made to the noise zones on Fingal development plan. These noise zones must now be revised due to the proposed flight path over our area. Fingal County Council consider that there should be no residential development allowed in noise zone A as it is considered harmful to health or otherwise considered unacceptable due to the high levels of aircraft noise. However, the fight path now being operated by DAA is putting many existing residences in Noise Zone A and B which is just not acceptable from a health point of view.
- 6. The noise insulation grant as proposed is not fit for purpose and is totally insufficient to protect for night noise. Measurements of noise in bedrooms of housing already insulated indicate that the noise levels exceed the recommendation in Fingal Development Plan are not sufficient to protect human health.
- 7. In summary planning is an afterthought for DAA. Their actions show that they do not respect planning legislation or decisions of An Bord Pleanála. This application must be refused.

Yours Sincerely,

Sign: Dell

Date: 29-3-24

Address: Wotton, The Ward, Co. Most.

